

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Í	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/729,523 12/04/2000		12/04/2000	Kinney Bacon	A-6237	4555	
5642	7590	7590 12/05/2006 .		EXAMINER		
		ANTA, INC.	SHERKAT, AREZOO			
		ROPERTY DEPARTM PARKWAY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		GA 30044		2131 .		
		•		DATE MAIL ED: 12/05/2006	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

8 . . . 2

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/729,523	BACON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit	_	
Arezoo Sherkat	2131		

Defend the Fillian of an Aug. 1515		2, 10 0, 1 2, 7, 12.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Arezoo Sherkat	2131						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.						
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS		• •						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mnliant Amendment	PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / anonamone	. 102 024).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,9-20,22,23 and 28-30.		I be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Please see the attached.		i condition for allowar	ice decause:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(୮ I O/SB/U8) Paper No(s)							
	•							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Applicant argues that Chaney does not disclose assigning to the source device of the at least one packetized data stream, a first unique designator (Remarks, page 4).

Examiner responds that Chaney discloses, the output signal of tuner 100, monitored by FEC 110, is processed by the transport unit 120. This signal fdepicted in Figure 3 comprises a stream of data organized in packets of data bytes, i.e., "packetized" data". Each packet is associated with a particular TYPE, or SUB-STREAM, of information in the tuned chennel's data stream (i.e., wherein a particular TYPE, or SUB-STREAM, of information in the tuned chennel's data stream corresponds to a unique designator)(col. 4, lines 50-67).

AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100